

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/996,438	11/20/2001	William Stefan Bess	5724-03-EJF	3857
7	590 03/04/2002			
Evan J. Federman			EXAMINER	
Legal Division, Warner-Lambert Company 201 Tabor Road			JONES, DWAYNE C	
Morris Plains, NJ 07950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1614
DATE MAILED: 03/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/996,438

Applicant(s)

Examiner

First Last

Art Unit 1234

Nichols et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period f	for Reply		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM	
aft - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will	
- If NO co - Failur - Any r	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Teply received by the Office later than three months after the	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
ea Status	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) 🗌		etion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re objected to by the Examiner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a approved b disapproved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) 🗆	☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.	
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachme	ent(s)		
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Peper No(s).	
$\tilde{\Box}$	otice of Dreftsperson'e Petent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informel Petent Application (PTO-152)	
17) 🔲 In	formetion Disclosure Stetement(s) (PTO-1449) Peper No(s)	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/996438 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claim 1 is pending.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statements filed on September 8, 1999 and December 27, 1999 have been reviewed and considered, see enclosed copy of PTO FORM 1449.

Specification

4. It is noted that page 14 of the instant specification is not present in this application. The examiner respectfully requests a copy of this page with a response to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/996438 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Nichols et al. of WO 97/37689. Nichols et al. teach of a composition containing a sympathomimetic amine with other compounds which make it difficult or essentially infeasible to synthesize illegal drugs from the sympathomimetic amine compounds, (see page 4, lines 1-23).

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hanna et al. of U.S. Patent No. 4,601,894 possessing an issue date of July 22, 1986. Hanna et al. disclose of a pharmaceutical composition containing pseudoephedrine sulfate and ethylcellulose, (see column 1, lines 50-57 and column 2, lines 43-46).
- 9. Keown et al. of WO 95/11034 which has a publication date of April 27, 1995. Keown et al. teach of a pharmaceutical composition containing a sympathomimetic agent, such as ephedrine, and a mineral cation salt, such as chromium, (see abstract).
- 10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nakamoto et al. of U.S. Patent No. 3,773,920 possessing an issue date of November 20, 1973. Nakamoto et al. teach of the following pharmaceutical composition of phenylephrine and the copolymer of methyl cellulose.

Application/Control Number: 09/996438

Art Unit: 1614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 13. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keown et al. of WO 95/11034 which has a publication date of April 27, 1995. Keown et al. teach of a pharmaceutical composition containing a sympathomimetic agent, such as ephedrine, and a mineral cation salt, such as chromium, (see abstract). Applicants attempt to limit the instant composition claims with functional language regarding the intended use of the compound, such as in the case with the combination inhibitor of a transition metal, like chromium. The prior art reference of Keown et al. does teach of the instantly claimed composition. The selection of a known material based omits suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297

Application/Control Number: 09/996438

Art Unit: 1614

(1945). Note that composition claims are not deemed to be limited by "intended use", see <u>In re</u>

Hack 114 USPQ 161.

14. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamoto et al. of U.S. Patent No. 3,773,920 possessing an issue date of November 20, 1973. Nakamoto et al. teach of the following pharmaceutical composition of phenylephrine and the copolymer of methyl cellulose. Again, applicants are attempting to limit the instantly claimed composition claims with an intended use of the already known composition, as taught by Nakamoto et al. Although the prior art reference of Nakamoto et al. are silent to the effect of interfering with the isolation of the sympathomimetic amine as well as its conversion to other pharmacologically active forms, it well established in the art that pharmaceutical compositions contain binders, such as hydroxypropyl cellulose, ethyl cellulose and the copolymer of methyl acrylate, (see column 2, lines 18-43). Clearly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the well known composition of a sympathomimetic amine, such as phenylephrine, along with pharmaceutically acceptable excipients namely, hydroxypropyl cellulose, ethyl cellulose and the copolymer of methyl acrylate. Note that composition claims are not deemed to be limited by "intended use", see In re Hack 114 USPQ 161. In fact, it is noted that, "[T]he release [of] velocity of the medicament can be adjusted by proper selection of the ratio of the medicament or the ethyl cellulose or even the water soluble polymer. Since it is already known that the incorporation of binders or excipients, such as hydroxypropyl cellulose, ethyl cellulose and the copolymer of methyl acrylate, will control the rate of delivery of the active

Art Unit: 1614

compound, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to utilize these pharmaceutically acceptable excipients to modulate the activity of the active agents, specifically the sympathomimetic amines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D.C. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-4634. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Tech. Ctr. 1614

February 26, 2002